

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/009,169	009,169 12/07/2001		Takahiro Kitano	2001-1648A	8536
513	7590	08/13/2004		EXAMINER	
WENDER 2033 K STF		IND & PONACK, I W.	PADEN, CAROLYN A		
SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				1761	
				DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/009,169	KITANO ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carolyn A Paden	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid 	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)∏ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and an v or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	Carol	m Palen
	PRIM/	OLYN PADEN 8—11-04 ARY EXAMINER ROUP 1980 -7-61

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Preamble limitations do not alone add patentable weight to the claims.. Also there is no place in the specification that provides for the specific sugar particle size of the claims..

CAROLYN PADEN 3-11-04 PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 176/